



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,336	01/28/2004	Shyh-Mei F. Ho	SVL920030102US1	3271
45112	7590	03/06/2009	EXAMINER	
Kunzler & McKenzie 8 EAST BROADWAY SUITE 600 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ALVESTEIFFER, STEPHEN D	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
		2175		
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
03/06/2009	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,336	HO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen Alvesteffer	2175	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Stephen Alvesteffer, examiner of record. (3) David J. McKenzie, Reg. #46,919, for applicant.
 (2) William Bashore, supervisory patent examiner. (4) Alec J. McGinn, for applicant.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 29.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bach et al. (US 5,781,739), Bach et al. (US 6,141,660), and Francis et al. (US 6,665,861).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives described the invention and how proposed amendments are believed to distinguish over the cited prior art of record. Upon cursory review, the proposed amendments appear to overcome the cited prior art of record. Further search and consideration will be made upon receipt of an official response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.